

**United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington**  
**Senior Judge Lonny R. Suko**  
**Yakima**

**USA v. DEZMONIQUE TENZSLEY Case No. 2:22-MJ-370-JAG-1**

Spokane Video Conference (LRS @ Yakima; Counsel and Defendant @ Spokane)  
Defendant consented to appear via video conference

**Initial Appearance on Complaint:**

**10/24/2022**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Melissa Orosco, Courtroom Deputy [S]<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y]<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chris Heinen, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Richard Barker, US Atty<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Colin Prince, Defense Atty<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter <b>NOT REQUIRED</b> |
|--|--|

- 
- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USA motion for detention<br><input type="checkbox"/> USA not seeking detention<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Court will appoint the Federal Defenders<br><input type="checkbox"/> Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney<br><input type="checkbox"/> PRE-Trial Services Report ordered | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of Complaint<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of Complaint<br><input type="checkbox"/> Complaint read in open court<br><input type="checkbox"/> POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered |
|--|---|

**REMARKS**

Defendant appeared, in custody, with counsel, and acknowledged to the Court that his true and correct name is DEZMONIQUE TENZSLEY.

Defendant was advised of his rights and the allegations contained in the Complaint.

Based on information provided in the Financial Affidavit, the Court appointed the Federal Defenders to represent Defendant in this matter.

Government has filed a motion for detention.

Defense counsel believes a detention hearing is not required in this matter as Defendant does not pose a serious risk of flight or danger to the community.

Government made factual proffers and argued detention is appropriate under 18 USC 3142(f) based on prior convictions for crimes of violence and serious risk of flight.

Rebuttal.

The Court set a detention hearing set on November 2, 2022 (date requested by defense counsel).

**The Court ordered:**

1. Discovery to be provided according to Local Rules on discovery.
2. Oral order issued confirming the Government's disclosure obligations under the Due Process Protections Act and the possible consequences of violation of said order.
3. Detention hearing set on November 2, 2022, at 1:30 p.m.
4. Preliminary hearing set on November 2, 2022, at 1:30 p.m.

5. Status hearing set on November 2, 2022, at 1:30 p.m.
6. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.

<b>Detention Hrg:</b> <b>11/02/2022</b> <b>@ 1:30 p.m. [S/JAG]</b>	<b>Preliminary Hrg:</b> <b>11/02/2022</b> <b>@ 1:30 p.m. [S/JAG]</b>	<b>Status Hrg:</b> <b>11/02/2022</b> <b>@ 1:30 p.m. [S/JAG]</b>
--	--	---